SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Food Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_48255**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Chemical and Nutritional Evaluation of Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) Seed Proteins**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- Table 1 has std included in the presentation of results while Table 2 does not - In section 2.1.1, please mention in a line or two how the hexane residues were removed from the sample. - In the reference section, please be consistent (check my comments in the reviewed article.	• Standard deviation has been included in Table 2 • The method of removal of hexane from sample has been stated. • References were corrected.
Optional/General comments	Generally, the paper is well written and has highlighted new chemical and nutritional perspectives of pumpkin seeds. Please publish it!	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	