



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_50438
Title of the Manuscript:	Fermentation reduces cyanide content during the Production of Cassava Flours from Sweet and Bitter Cassava Tuber Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The research article provides basic data to use microbes for the removal of cyanide and pathogens</p> <p>The study did not provide the status of cyanide</p> <p>The author must study the aflatoxin production by fungi</p> <p>Very old references author must update bibliography</p>	<p>The status of cyanide before and after fermentation was stated</p> <p>This study was not captured in the objectives of the research. We are sorry about this.</p> <p>Recent references have been added</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In table 9 use terms TTA for what it stand	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	