



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_50438
Title of the Manuscript:	Fermentation reduces cyanide content during the Production of Cassava Flours from Sweet and Bitter Cassava Tuber Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Recheck spelling of some words - Table labelling should be consistent and to appear after the text - Connect/compress same paragraphs which describe or are closely related (arrow provided to locate) - Pronouns as highlighted in yellow with red font should have been avoided - Discussion should be stated and supported clearly with other research findings - References- space should have been provided every after set of authors (arrow provided to locate) 	These corrections have been effected at the appropriate places.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issue