



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_50438
Title of the Manuscript:	Fermentation reduces cyanide content during the Production of Cassava Flours from Sweet and Bitter Cassava Tuber Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where are the number lines??! • The abstract should include aim of the study, materials and methods, results and conclusion. • Any abbreviation should be preceded by the full name of the word. • The introduction is too long and requires more recent references. • The discussion needs more recent references • The references should be written in the same style. 	<p>The abstract has been revised accordingly.</p> <p>The introduction has been reduced This has been updated too The references have been written in the same style</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issue here