



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_50931
Title of the Manuscript:	The Level of Nori's Relief Made From Raw Seaweed Mixed Gelidium Sp And Eucheuma cottonii
Type of the Article	Data Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please follow the biology and taxonomy on writing species name of scientific name of plant/animal kingdom. First these words need to be <i>italicise</i>. Second. After mentioning for the <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> in the abstract for the first time, it should be written as <i>E. cottonii</i> thereafter. Authors also need to use the full term <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> during first use in the main text body, and as <i>E. cottonii</i> thereafter.</p> <p>Abstract should only include useful important information. Place of study is not required and should be deleted.</p> <p>Why is the in-text citation numbering not in order. Please correct.</p> <p>Introduction a bit shallow. Only mention about seaweed and the polysaccharide carrageenan. And the aim and objectives of the study not clearly stated. There include a short paragraph of aims and objectives and relate to similar studies. Why this study is important. How is your method novel. Why use Bayes? What is it?</p> <p>iotakaraginan should be corrected as iota-carrageenan</p> <p>The standard method to write “grams” is just “g”. Please correct.</p> <p>Section 2.1 please add “Kota Bandung, Indonesia” at the end of the university address.</p> <p>Section 2.2 There is no need for capital letter on the first letter of common word in the middle of the sentence.</p> <p>Nori is not a standard English word, but a Japanese therefore if authors decide to use it, it should be italicised.</p> <p>Section 2.3, A suggestion. summarised them in form of ratio. Formulation for <i>Gelidium: E.Cottonii</i> were prepared in ratio of 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 6:4, and 5:5.</p> <p>Section 2.5. Where did you specifically find the food tester/ panellist. University? Authors need to specify.</p>	<p>Has been fixed</p> <p>Has been fixed.</p> <p>Yes, find the food tester from university.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I have tagged comment and highlighted yellow the minor errors in the manuscript that must be corrected. Please see edited manuscript.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	