



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_32185
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling and allocation of vegetable crops using Mathematical Programming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Please clarify the ethical issue if any.</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have need to check their citations and referencing very well; e.g CITATION line 18: it should be "fractional programming with objectives (Romero and Rehman, 1989)." Instead of Romero and Rehman (1989), especially when it is at the end of the text. REFERENCING Most of the years of publications are not in parentheses and do not have full stop. e.g. line 141: should be " Pal, B. B., Monitor B. N. and Maulik, U. (2003)." Instead of Pal, B. B., Monitor B. N. and Maulik, U. 2003</p> <p>Punctuations Errors: Lines 24 and 111: should be multi-objective, instead of multiobjective.</p> <p>Line 73: is <math>X_t^*</math> the same as equilibrium solution? At what degree did you have your equilibrium solution using that method?</p>	I have made some modifications as per valuable suggestions of Review's.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The authors need to improve on the language e.g. line 5: ... are commonly used by decision makers <b>for</b> achieving efficiency... line 51:... <math>Z_p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)</math> as p individual ... Line 55: ... <b>supposing</b> <math>\text{Max}Z_2</math>, has minimum ... And same applies to lines 77-79</p>	