



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50411
Title of the Manuscript:	Competitive Reaction-Diffusion Systems: Traveling Waves and Numerical Solutions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Citations/references are too old. I believe research has been going on in this field. Author(s) should more literature search to update the paper with current/recent works.</p> <p>2. [22], [23], etc. not properly referenced. No dates</p> <p>3. Conclusion: You should state clearly what you did, how you did it (methodology), results and how your results contribute to body of knowledge in this field.</p>	<p>1. Some more citations in recent works in this field are included in references lists. Please check the revised version as yellow marked [24—28].</p> <p>2. Citations [22, 23] are corrected in proper format.</p> <p>3. The main objective and methodology are included in the revised version of the manuscript and putted in Introduction section, pp 2-3; texts are highlighted. However to ensure the findings and focus the main contributions, all the paper, specially numerical example parts are rewritten.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Author should be consistent in using Traveling or travelling. Authors are using both the American and British English the same time. Better stick to one.</p> <p>2. ... which leads to the persistence and extinction of organisms in a biology: Recast as Biology</p>	<p>1. Corrected in the whole manuscript and used Travelling.</p> <p>2. a is omitted from the sentence in Abstract. The sentence is rewritten.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	
---	---	--