



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50411
Title of the Manuscript:	Competitive Reaction-Diffusion Systems: Traveling Waves and Numerical Solutions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Citations/references are too old. I believe research has been going on in this field. Author(s) should more literature search to update the paper with current/recent works. [22], [23], etc. not properly referenced. No dates Conclusion: You should state clearly what you did, how you did it (methodology), results and how your results contribute to body of knowledge in this field. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Some more citations in recent works in this field are included in references lists. Please check the revised version as yellow marked [24—28]. Citations [22, 23] are corrected in proper format. The main objective and methodology are included in the revised version of the manuscript and putted in Introduction section, pp 2-3; texts are highlighted. However to ensure the findings and focus the main contributions, all the paper, specially numerical example parts are rewritten.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Author should be consistent in using Traveling or travelling. Authors are using both the American and British English the same time. Better stick to one. ... which leads to the persistence and extinction of organisms in a biology: Recast as Biology 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Corrected in the whole manuscript and used Travelling. a is omitted from the sentence in Abstract. The sentence is rewritten.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	
--	---	--