



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50552
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of quality and assurance parameters of mulberry silk waste and viscose blended knitted fabrics by using 'Fabric Assurance by Simple Testing' (FAST) technique
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	When reviewing scientific papers for publication, I usually start with a general overview in terms of a structure, abstract, literature review, methodology, findings of the research, discussion, conclusions, as well as limitations of the study. The subject discussed in the paper is timely. And the structure of the paper is clear and consistent with accepted standards. To improve the quality of the paper I would suggest to: 1. To clarify the duration of the research. 2. To indicate the research limitations. 3. Lack of follow-up research recommendations.	Paper has been revised for advised changes. 1. Research duration has been mentioned. 2. Research limitation has been written. 3. Follow up research recommendations have also been given.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues.