



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_50651
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of technique cultivation on someproperties of two varieties of yam (Dioscoreaspp) flour
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is suggested to add two more keywords.</p> <p>The introduction should be more thorough with more rich references.</p> <p>In the methodology it is recommended a greater detail of the processes used as for example the lipid extraction method, protein extraction method and the equipment used in its determination. Also in the methodology should be described the method of obtaining the flours of the two varieties of yam, the reducing sugar method, the method of obtaining the ashes and detailing further evaluation of the functional properties of yam flour.</p> <p>In conclusion there is a need to cite proteins due to the important biochemical bond with carbohydrates (starch) and their solubility and viscosity performance.</p>	All the corrections mentioned by the reviewer have been done accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study has significant scientific relevance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	