



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_51121
Title of the Manuscript:	ZINC ACCUMULATION IN AMARANTHUS CAUDATUS AND CORCHORUS OLITORIUS: RELEVANCE FOR PHYTOEXTRACTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Consistency of word choice require. Sulphate or sulfate? Choose one but not both. Sulphate is UK English, sulfate is U,S. Please decide on one.</p> <p>Common chemical compounds in the middle of the sentence do not need capital on the first letter of the word. Zinc can be written as “zinc” or “Zn”. https://www.aje.com/en/arc/editing-tip-capitalization-chemical-compounds/ Please recheck manuscript and correct for other common words.</p> <p>Also decide whether you want to use Zinc or Zn. For the sake of consistency, avoid switching the term or use both simultaneously.</p> <p>Please follows the biology rule of writing species name. <i>Corchorus olitorious</i> on first use, and <i>C. olitorious</i> thereafter.</p> <p>It was observed in introduction that the scientific name of the plant “Corchorus. Amaranthus”were not <i>italicised</i>.</p> <p>A few spelling mistakes were observed. Abstract: translocationg / Section 2.2.: deionzed /</p> <p>Was the experiment carried out in duplicate?</p> <p>If authors decided to abbreviate translocation factor as TF in the discussion section, author should do it on the first appearance of the word in section 2.2. Rules and using abbreviation. Abbreviations that are defined in the abstract will need to be defined again at first use in the main text. Terms that are already defined should not be redefined again otherwise it is a duplication of effort. And once you defined a term, avoid switching back to the full term, otherwise it is pointless to define them in the first place.</p>	<p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected. However I decided to write the full scientific names for plates and tables because they should be self-explanatory without reading the text. Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Corrected</p> <p>Corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	None