



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_50351
Title of the Manuscript:	Concentrations of toxic heavy metals in coffee
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 9: coffee is one and not coffees one. Line 10: insert 'is' after metals Line 14: coffee not coffees Line18-19: Please recast or punctuate for clarity. Line14: as follows;, not as Cd, ... Line 26:Give full meaning of TLVs, then acronym in bracket. Modify the Title to: Physicochemical parameters and toxic heavy metals concentration in coffee. Did you compare your results with WHO permissible limits? Draw and Label your Tables 1,2,3, etc. and and give the numerical values of the physical and chemical parameters. Also insert the Table with the 13 brands of coffee and their heavy metal concentrations. I did not see clear Tables in your article. Did you use a fume cupboard during digestion? State it in your method for precaution. I think it would be ideal for the Tables of results to come before Discussion. . 	Revised Revised Revised Revised As follows already there Revised Revised WHO limits there Tables are already labels, there is unethical to write the brands name of coffee No need to use fume cupboard. It not measured Placement of table change as you advised.
Minor REVISION comments	References should be formatted according to the Journal's guidelines.	Revised
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	