



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50642
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SNAIL MARKETING IN IBADAN NORTH EAST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript requires:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) improve the intrusion section, clearly define the challenges and challenges of the topic, define the problem (launch research questions), define the research objectives. 2) In the review of the literature it is recommended to clarify more precisely the theory or theories that support the study, a greater number of citations are required in this section, 2) In the methodology section, it is advisable to define the type of research, is it quantitative or qualitative? As the sample was determined, it seems that this sample is not representative for a high impact study. 3) In the conclusions section it is convenient to: 1) develop the research findings in greater depth, 2) detail the theoretical and empirical implications, and 3) describe the main contribution of the study. 4) Bibliography, it is important to strengthen the literature review by entering current citations of the subject under study and also strengthen the conclusions with argumentation through important and relevant empirical studies (citations). 	Sir all corrections from 1-4 have been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	