



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50814
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF LOAN REPAYMENT AMONG SMALL-SCALE CASSAVA FARMERS IN AKPABUYO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the material and methods, the technique sampling used by the author(s) is purposive sampling technique, which is categorised as non-probability sampling. However, the author(s) used OLS that requires a probability sampling technique. Therefore, OLS does not fit to be used in this article. Instead of using OLS, the author(s) are strongly to use descriptive statistics or other non-inferential statistics in this article. • To see the relation between some variables and the loan repayment, the author(s) may use cross tabulation analysis --> this is indeed may cause different results from the one provided in the current article. • In the recommendation, the author(s) suggested some issues that did not investigate by the author(s) themselves, therefore the recommendation is suggested comes from the results of this article. 	<p>Random sampling technique was used. This enabled the use of OLS (Check line 135 - 144). This will ensure that the results are maintained.</p> <p>Recommendations are drawn from the results of the paper.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No need to provide numbers in the conclusion 	<p>Numbers have been removed in conclusion (Check line 319 - 322).</p>

[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	