



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50815
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Microcredit on Poverty Reduction among Rural Farm Households in Northeast, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well-established. Its language is clear and understandable. Introduction part of the study indicates the purpose, aim and the objectives of the paper. Background of the paper is adequate. "Abstract" is emphasizing the objectives and the scope of the research clearly. The article is based on a research, so it has a proper and acceptable method. The article is an original paper compromising the results of a research. The subject is original and relevant for the journal which also compromises interesting data for the reader.</p> <p>Not all the references are typed in consistent with those formats requested by the <i>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</i>, it is suggested that the author(s) check the references carefully and make the needed corrections to fit the journal criteria.</p> <p><b>World Bank, 1999; NBS, 2011; Copestake, 2002;</b> doesn't exist in references.</p> <p><b>Copestake, 2007</b> doesn't exist in manuscript.</p>	<p>This citation "World Bank 1999" has been included in the references' section The publication year of the citing "Copestake" has been corrected to 2007 as against 2002.</p> <p>The references have been formatted in line with the journal format.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issue in the manuscript.