



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50819
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Rate of Adoption of Rice Production Technologies introduced by Agricultural Research Outreach Centres (AROCs) by Farmers in Niger State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Some of the in text references are not found within the reference list. They are highlighted in yellow, while the corrected references are highlighted in red.</p> <p>The author is advise to cross check the reference list well as the corrected ones are highlighted in red.</p> <p>The references that are not part of this study are highlighted in blue and should be removed.</p> <p>The author is also advice to carefully follow the referencing style of the journal.</p>	<p>I quite agreed with all the reviewers' comments and I followed them carefully and made corrections as highlighted in yellow. The minor corrections in red, I also affect them but with no changes in color.</p> <p>The reference that was not there in the earlier submission were provided now.</p> <p>Some of the in-text reference quoted had spelling errors and some is the date/year of publication which were all corrected and highlighted yellow</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	None