



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47847
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitudes of Male Undergraduate Students Towards Choosing the Female Under/Graduate Students as Marriage Partners Within the Hausa-Folk
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is a potentially interesting paper which needs some work before it can be published. First, it is wrong to say that the choice of a mate is the most important one made during life or that only one partner will ever be chosen, not least because of the relatively high rate of divorce among Hausa people.</p> <p>The issue of agency will need to be considered; i.e., to what extent can men and women exercise freedom in choosing a partner in the context considered.</p> <p>The sample size chosen should be justified. Which language or languages were used in the research? How was the questionnaire designed and tested? What is the possibility of non-response bias? How does the sample achieved match the overall population?</p> <p>The answers given in the findings should be compared with figures for actual marriages and the relative levels of education for different partners, insofar as these figures can be identified.</p>	<p><b>Paragraph 1</b></p> <p>A. It is a valid observation. However, what is written there is "...one of the most important..." and not "...the most important..."</p> <p>B. Choosing of marriage partner does not stand as "life partner." Even without divorce, the Hausas are into tradition of marrying up to 4 wives.</p> <p><b>Paragraph 2</b></p> <p>The observation is valid. However, that is out of the scope of the paper. The writers here are concerned with "female education" as variable which may have effect on the attitudes of male undergraduates during marriage partner selection.</p> <p><b>Paragraph 3</b></p> <p>Observations have been taken care of.</p> <p><b>Paragraph 4</b></p> <p>If by "actual marriages" the reviewer means exiting matrimonial homes, the writers consider the idea as creating an entirely new topic. That is a topic which will be concerned about already established matrimonial homes.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	