



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_50428</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Monetary Policy and Value of Stock Traded: Short Run and Long Run Evidence from Nigerian Stock Exchange (1987-2017)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>(i) The topic should be straightforward to become "Impact of Monetary Policy on Value of Stock Traded: Short Run and Long Run Evidence from Nigerian Stock Exchange (1987- 2017).</p> <p>(ii) There are some words missing in the paragraph starting from line 57 to line 59.</p> <p>(iii) The researcher should remove the "recommendation" section from section 5 to make it section 6 he/she chose the titling as if the manuscript were a dissertation.</p> <p>(iv) Authors of books under references must be written in an alphabetical order not as they have been presented.</p>	<p>(i) The topic has been amended as you suggested</p> <p>(ii) This has been addressed</p> <p>(iii) This has been addressed</p> <p>(iv) We followed the reference style of the journal. However, we have aligned to it in alphabetical order as you pointed out</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Looking at the referencing used in the manuscript, the author should have used APA referencing style which commonly used nowadays in research.	We followed the reference style of the journal

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	