



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_50428
Title of the Manuscript:	Monetary Policy and Value of Stock Traded: Short Run and Long Run Evidence from Nigerian Stock Exchange (1987-2017)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>-Line 11: From the Abstract; "Our showed that...". Something is missing here.</p> <p>-Authors should check line 21 and line 22 and recast.</p> <p>- Line 164 and 168, Equ.1 should be written in full</p> <p>-Author(s) should check and conform to referencing style of the journal, Mohamadpour, Behravan, Espahbodi and Karimi (2012) was found in Line 135</p> <p>-The authors(s) should read through the paper and correct grammatical and typographical errors.</p>	<p>-We have corrected the sentence</p> <p>- Correction effected as you pointed out</p> <p>- From line 164 to 168, we stated the Autoregressive Distribute Lag (ARDL), long run and the short run form of equation 1. However, we have stated the econometric form of Equ.1 as seen in Equ.2</p> <p>- It has been restated as Mohamadpour et al. (2012)</p> <p>-We have reviewed the manuscript for grammatical errors</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	