



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_50485
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality evaluation of Ogi from Acha (<i>Digitaria exilis</i>), Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i>) and Carrot (<i>Daucus carota</i> L.) composite flour blends
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nutritional Composition is a better phrase compared to proximate analysis Arrange the key words in the Alphabetical order. Some spelling mistakes need to be corrected 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The use of nutritional composition is a broader term than proximate composition. The use of proximate composition is specific as it dwells strictly on moisture, protein, fat, crude fiber, ash and carbohydrate contents of the food samples respectively. Key words have been arranged in alphabetical order as advised. Spelling mistakes corrected as advised.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	