



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGMB_50494
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS AND HORMONAL PROFILES IN WOMEN DIAGNOSED WITH INFERTILITY IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In inclusion criteria for case group, please mention that women unable to conceive after (the word unable to conceive is missing) <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please justify why M±SEM has been used instead of M±SD <p>Referencing and Citation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please read the journal's protocols about how to cite a reference in the paper within square brackets [] <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please make corrections in grammar and sentence structure. 	<p>Methods: Married for at least 12 months, and have been having regular unprotected sexual intercourse for at least 12 months without achieving conception.</p> <p>Results: While the SD tells us how close the individual data values are from the sample mean, the SE tells us how close our sample mean is to the true mean of our population .The standard error of the mean is an indication of the reliability of the mean. A small SE is an indication that the sample mean is a more accurate reflection of the population mean. A larger sample size will normally result in smaller SE while SD is not directly affected by sample size. In this study we are much interested to see how well our sample size reflects the true population.</p> <p>Referencing and Citation: in text citation of reference were written in parenthesis within square brackets []</p> <p>Discussions: Appropriate corrections have been made for grammar and sentence errors.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please divide the abstract into parts - background, methods, results and conclusion - Line 7 – some - Line 11 – short forms are not allowed in the abstract section - Line 16, 17 – needs sentence restructuring <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 28 – obtainable (observed) - Line 29 – hurt (affected) - Line 30 – persons – people - Line 33 - needs sentence restructuring - Line 42 – 45 – Please consider shortening the sentence so that it becomes more comprehensible - Line 60, 61 – loss of pregnancy (loss) <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 133 – when using an abbreviation for the first time, it's full form should be mentioned please 	<p>All corrections have been appropriately effected and highlighted in the manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A well planned and conducted study - Numerous grammatical and sentence structuring errors need to be addressed by a language expert or native speaker - Improper word usage at several instances needs to be reviewed by a language expert 	<p>Thank you for taking time to read this manuscript and for your complement and comments. Many of the grammatical and sentence structuring errors have been addressed.</p>

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	