



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_48179
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Cardiac Herniation after Right Pleuropneumonectomy (Case Report and Review of Literature)
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract gives no idea of manuscript, please rewrite it</p> <p>First three lines of abstract are not clear</p> <p>A sentence about conclusion should be added.</p> <p>There are references in abstract, feasible ?</p> <p>Introduction is unintroductory, rewrite it</p> <p>Elaborate case details, how was diagnosis of herniation made</p> <p>In discussion, paragraph with heading after every sentence</p> <p>What is new finding in your case</p> <p>What is your conclusion, not of other cases in literature</p>	<p>All the recommended changes were made.</p> <p>The first three lines were changed</p> <p>References in abstract were removed.</p> <p>A conclusion was added to the manuscript.</p> <p>The new and my aim in my case report is that it highlights a serious rare post operative condition with high mortality (50-100%) if not promptly diagnosed and managed.. Prevention by adequate closure of the pericardial defect and avoid excessive manipulation of the patients as well as Early recognition by putting the cardiac herniation in our DD of post operative shock and/or sudden arrest can improve the outcome dramatically.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The patient personal details were anonymous. Consent was taken from the caregivers of the patients.