



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_50607
Title of the Manuscript:	Demonetization in India: An evaluation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Although this is an interesting and important subject and, as an essay, it is well-enough written, this paper unfortunately does not contain sufficient analysis for the author to be able to claim that it has made a contribution to academic knowledge. The author is advised to think again about what kind of analytical framework can be brought to bear upon this subject, whether it is an empirical method or an examination in the light of a particular ideology and then revise the paper accordingly before resubmitting it.	The paper was written primarily as a policy review paper. For due reason, there is no framework or analysis on this paper. The theme of the paper is basically confined to a theoretical evaluation on the demonetization initiative taken by the Indian government in 2016. The information on this paper were collected from the published sources from 2016 to 2019 on the same topic. Therefore, as authors, we think that the paper is fit as a policy paper, not as an empirical paper or literature review.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No