



## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_50158
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSERVATION EDUCATION, ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOOD AND HABITAT RESTORATION: THE BEST STRATEGIES FOR CONSERVATION OF MAGOMBERA FOREST RESERVE
Type of Article:	

### PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>1. It really needs a serious revision by an English native, and please standardize the font size. Furthermore, there are some things that still need more work.</p> <p>2. The introduction has improved, but please takes a deeper look. There is an imbalance in the writing: some paragraphs are pretty nice but some others do not look like a scientific paper. For example, lines 31-35 should be summarized in a single sentence. And in some paragraphs you repeat too much some words: for example, in just three lines (44-47), "the forest" is repeated six times!! And in lines 49-52 it is mentioned five times.</p> <p>3. Lines 52-54: You have already said that (lines 21-22). Please do not repeat information.</p> <p>4. The Materials and Methods section has improved, but again please do not repeat information. The selection of people for the surveys now appears at the study area (for no reason) and at the 2.2.1 section.</p> <p>5. The 2.2.3 section is better, now it is clear that plantation was part of the training, but it remains unclear why did you count the stumps. Did you want to have a measure of habitat degradation? Or did you intend to replace all the cut trees by planted trees? This has to be clear.</p> <p>6. And how did you determine the reference site? There was any design for plantation or you planted randomly, or maybe you planted replacing the stumps? Where did you get the seeds? Did you buy them in a commercial garden center?</p>	<p>1. Grammar has been looked at</p> <p>2. Lines 31-35 and 44-47 have been adjusted</p> <p>3. Lines 52-54 and 21-22 adjusted.</p> <p>4. Repetition has been removed.</p> <p>5. It has been clearly stated in section 2.2.3 why we count stumps.</p> <p>6. It has been clarified in section 2.2.3</p>