



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_50725
Title of the Manuscript:	Logging and lithostratigraphic study of the Cenomanian-Santonian reservoirs of four oil wells MSP1, MSP2, MSP3 and MSP4 of the margin of San Pedro (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>From Table 4 on line 231, the equations used to compute the VSH, PHIE and SWE needs to be stated so we know these petrophysical values are not just arbitrarily or author could cite and reference a material where these equations could be found.</p> <p>How the gross, net and N/G were obtained needs also to be stated and any paper the author reviewed in order to obtain these values needs to be properly cited and referenced. This is necessary so another research could apply same equations and obtain similar results thus validating these values.</p> <p>For the Methods, although it was stated, a sequential workflow diagram is necessary. This should be included in the paper. This is such that another researcher could follow this method/process sequentially and obtain same results.</p>	we took into account your remarks thanks for the relevance
Minor REVISION comments	On line 136, grammatical errors needs to be corrected.	we corrected this part
Optional/General comments	Nice illustrative images/diagrams with proper descriptions. Paper is okay for publication after compulsory and minor revision comments noted above are adhered to.	we have made all the correction proposed



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript