



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_50281
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPING THE YOUTH THROUGH TECHNICAL VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	OPINION ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Literature Review is vehemently required. 2. Follow the format of the referencing of the journal 3. Provide the sources to most of your claims 4. What is your research blank space? It's missing in both your abstract and the body of your piece. 5. Beef up your literature review. 6. What are the economic, social, and political impacts of TVET in Ghana? 	Good Evening Sir. The author has read through the reviewers' comment and corrections, I strongly agree with them base on their corrections.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check on your grammar 2. What are the pros and cons of TVET? 3. Your piece of work needs a bit of novelty in as much as a scientific research is mirrored. 4. Where are your objectives? Which method did you employed? 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	