



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_50910
Title of the Manuscript:	Hanging Ratio Gillnets on Different Mesh Size for Mackerel ( <i>Scomberomorus commerson</i> ) in Pangandaran, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Results and discussion</b></p> <p>The result is more of literature review. I cannot clearly see how you analysed your data obtained from the questionnaire you administered. Please include a table that will show analysis of your questionnaire result.</p> <p><b>Table 1</b></p> <p><b>For me it look like a literature note obtained from Department of Fisheries, Marine and Food Security Pangandaran Regency 2016. Your results obtained is not from fishermen, which mean your research is a secondary result obtained.</b></p> <p>For me, this study should have been for review publication rather than original article publication. The authors did not show results from their research work erg result from questionnaire.</p>	<p><b>Results and discussion</b></p> <p>Point 3.1 Fishing Gear Characteristics discusses how the condition of capture fisheries, especially gillnet based on the literature that I connect with the results of the field. I think I have sufficiently discussed the results of the questionnaire by including the characteristics of the gillnet component in Pangandaran</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Because this result obtained is not from questionnaire as the Authors stated. It needs requires thorough scrutiny before it can be published.</b></p>	<p>The questionnaire referred to in this research is the basis for finding information about gillnet characteristics in Pangandaran such as components, materials, mesh size, fishing vessels, etc. all of which have been stated in the research results.</p>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	
--	---	--