



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_51028
Title of the Manuscript:	VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS OF ARTISANAL FISHING IN ILAJE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>Abstract</u></p> <p>The abstract is too long. Summarise it. Try to follow the Author's guideline of the journal. Follow this instruction below. The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used major findings with important data and conclusions.</p> <p><u>Reference</u></p> <p>Follow the Author's guideline to restructure the citation of the document. Follow this instruction below. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]</p> <p><u>Introduction</u></p> <p>The introduction is too long, Kindly summarize it to two or one and half pages.</p>	Done
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	