



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_47077
Title of the Manuscript:	The most cited MeSH terms and authors who published papers in Pubmed Central on the topic of medicine and health using bibliometric analyses
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors studied the most cited MeSH terms and authors who published papers in Pubmed Central on the topic of medicine and health.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 The sample size was too small. It seemed that the authors only extracted one-day data (1/10/2019) for the analysis. It may introduce sampling bias.</li> <li>2 The description of social network analysis (SNA) was not clear. An edge connecting two nodes should be defined by some kind of relationship between these two nodes, which wasn't clearly specified in the manuscript.</li> <li>3 Overall, the manuscript is not written very well. Many grammar errors.</li> <li>4 Eq. (1) is not shown.</li> </ol>	Thank you for your comments. Corrections done accordingly.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	