



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_50644
Title of the Manuscript:	Palynological and paleobotanic characterization of upper Eocene-lower Miocene deposits of the southeastern part of the onshore sedimentary basin of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript presents palynostratigraphic and paleoecological features of the Eocene to Miocene sequence from the southeastern part of the Ivory Coast.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Materials and methods are adequate. 2. Data are robust and references adequate. 3. Conclusion is supported by the data. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor changes of the title are suggested Please make some corrections in the Abstract and the Introduction. There are some suggestions in the enclosed corrected MS Tertiary is no more an official stratigraphic unit, should be replaced by Paleogene and Neogene (lines 33-35, page 1) Tables with palynomorphs are nice, but it would be better to prepare them in a more compact form and place them in the chapter Results. Biostratigraphical and paleoecological discussion is based upon these determinations. Credit should be given to their source, PhD Thesis Gbangbot 2012 The text should be arranged in a template offered in authors guidelines page: https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BzvKYtXLTJZjMzYwcTJwZ2g5ZFE/view More detailed corrections and suggestions are visible in the enclosed revised text 	<p>The title has been modified as suggested</p> <p>The Abstract and Introduction have also been corrected</p> <p>Concerning the palynomorph boards, we are always used to putting them at the end of the writing process. So I didn't touch that part.</p> <p>The rest of the corrections have been made.</p>
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Author(s) should take care to distinguish the results from the discussion and conclusions. Please give credit to the previously published results! 	I took into account all your suggestions



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	