



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJORRIN_48263</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Unilateral weakness and areflexia in a child diagnosed to have Pseudo-tumoral acute hemi-cerebellitis.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Report</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Concerning the structure of the manuscript and the scientific writing issues: The manuscript needs English editing. It should be better structures using secondary headings. The author(s) should state incidence and prevalence of Psudo acute hemi-cerebellitis and areflexia and highlight the importance of discussing the case under study. The author should clarify the atypical clinical presentation and compare it to the typical ones. There must be a better review of the literature with more recent references. The manuscript must show added value that is clear and highlighted at the end of discussion, and this is not happening.</p>	<p>Thank you for your review, I am currently working in the English editing part, and I am trying to use a secondary heading. For the incidence and the prevalence of pseudo-tumoral acute hemi-cerebellitis is an extremely rare. Also, i Added the importance of mentioning such a case especially she had atypical clinical picture. The typical and atypical presentations were highlighted in the study with the comparison between them. Unfortunately, no more studies talk about it before, so my references were the update once. I added a conclusion part mentioning the value that I want to add by reporting the case.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	