



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_50133
Title of the Manuscript:	Langerhans cell histiocytosis of bone: report of 4 cases
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Corrections have been done in the Manuscript. Title should be changed as "Case study on Langerhans cell histiocytosis of bone" Don't use we/ our like words with in the research paper.</p> <p>Spellings should be rechecked.</p> <p>References can be increased. More than 50 references are important to maintain the standard of the research paper.</p> <p>Figures sizes have been made.</p> <p>If possible. Future suggestions and recommendation should be included.</p>	<p>I corrected the title as it is proposed</p> <p>I corrected the spellings and I changed the words we and our</p> <p>I included future suggestions at the end of the manuscript</p> <p>All corrections are highlighted in the manuscript</p> <p>I have added other references</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>What about the ethical consideration for this case study? Did you obtain written consent from these patients?</p>	<p>Ethical consideration were respected particularly consent of the patients</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Ethical consideration were respected