



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The write up of the case study does not highlight the aims and objectives mentioned. 2. One photomicrograph figure should have been included to show cytomorphology and histopathology of Langerhans's cell histiocytosis to support the title & since final diagnosis of LCH is by histopathology 3. English language should be improved. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The aim of this case study was to precise the clinical, paraclinical , treatment and prognosis of skletekal involvement in Langerhans cell histiocytosis. These objectives was highlighted in each case apart. In fact we tried to describe in each case the clinical presentation , the lesions in the x ray or in tomography. The diagnosis was based on the identification of Langerhans cell histiocytosis. Finally the treatment which depends on the form of the disease local treatment if it is a monofocal bone lesion or systemic treatment if it is a multifocal bone lesion or if other organs are affected. The prognosis of bone involvement as in our case study depends on the form of the disease and the number of bone lesions. It is usually favorable in monofocal localization and it is characterized by the risk of recurrence if it is a systemic form with multifocal bone localization. 2. I added the figure of langehans cell in the bonchoalevaolar liquid 3.I tried to improve some sentences wrote in green