



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_50748
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Study on Micropolar Nanofluid Flow over an Inclined Surface by Means of Keller-Box
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. English write-up grammar must be corrected throughout the whole manuscript. E.g. at line 74 the word "Nano fluid" should be replaced by "nanofluid" and at line 84 the word "Nano particle" must also be replaced.</p> <p>2. The quality of figures may be improved by saving the figures in png format and the graph lines may be colored so that view become clear e.g. Fig 15, Fig 16, are not clear to view the behaviour of the parameters. Magnified box in these figure must be colored.</p> <p>3. Some latest references may be given in the introduction section related to inclined sheet.</p> <p>This manuscript may be published in this journal after doing above said major revision.</p>	<p>We thank the anonymous reviewer for his/her careful reading of our manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions. We respond to the comments of each reviewer in detail, with reviewer comments in coloured form in the revised manuscript. We are providing a revised manuscript that reflects his/her suggestions and comments.</p> <p>Q1. Answer: Corrected in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>Q2. Answer: Improved.</p> <p>Q3. Answer: Added in the revised manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	