



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Review in Physics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_50869
Title of the Manuscript:	A 19-Years Period (2000-2018) Dataset of Annual and Monthly Spatial Distribution of Rainfall and Average Air Temperature in a Temperate Region for Climate Change Studies
Type of the Article	9. Data Notes

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Excellent article, can be accepted for publication, we only suggest that some recent bibliography of the last two years be inserted in the area of statistics applied to rainy time series. The author does not present any statistical analysis of the behavior of this important climate variable, if possible it should insert in the article, as well as justifying why it used a historical series of only 18 years, since the FAO-UN recommends at least thirty years for temporary series of climate variables.	<p>1. In order to highlight the role of time series trend analysis of rainfall and temperature in the context of climate change studies, reference to a recent paper (year 2018) has been added in the revised manuscript according to Reviewer's comments.</p> <p>2. Since the paper is of type "Data Note", it represents a description of a scientific dataset without including statistical analyses or particular data processing.</p> <p>3. A brief explanation about the years selected for the study has been added to the revised manuscript.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Excellent article, I suggest the author justifies or can explain why he used a historical series of the size or length used in this article. Backed by the bibliographic reference.	See point 3 above
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	