



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_48881
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation and Restoration of Endangered Plant Species in the Tropical Forests
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper contains the original scientific review contents with all technical and in depth reviews regarding the research topic for this paper.</p> <p>The paper is well written with proper use of English. The Presentation of review results and its interpretation is legible and very clear.</p> <p>This paper aim at reviewing of past research works to provide profound solutions for conservation and restoration of forests and its products in the mid of financial shortcoming among the developing nations in the tropical regions.</p> <p>So the overall conservation point of view this paper creating awareness among the young researcher to give more inputs towards the tropical forest conservation through creating alternate livelihood within or nearby forest area community. The current research paper is providing some very important facts with figures which seem very original and publishable.</p> <p>As per my opinion, this paper has all the merits for acceptance and publishes in the journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

_As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>
