



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_50170
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AIR-DRIED MUCUNA (Mucuna pruriens) LEAF MEAL IN THE DIETS OF GROWER RABBIT BUCKS ON THE PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY AND ECONOMIC COST OF PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A very good research but I made some minor revision which have been highlighted and corrected on the manuscript.	The abstract has been reduced to less than 300 words which is within the journal's stipulated guideline. The superscripts has been corrected, in FCR the higher the figure the poorer the FCR, so T ₁ has better FCR followed by T ₂ and T ₃ . The mortality rate in treatment one and two is not high because we had six rabbits in each treatment, we lose two animals in treatment one and one in treatment two though when expressed or calculated on percentage basis it seem to be high but it is not. The explanation on the economics of production has been made and highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments	*Experimental site should be used instead of Location *Kcal/kg should be removed from the experimental diet.	The experimental location has been corrected to experimental site. Kcal/kg has been removed from experimental diet On the reference pages, all the journal cited has been italicized
Optional/General comments	Very good research work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	