



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_50170
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AIR-DRIED MUCUNA (Mucuna pruriens) LEAF MEAL IN THE DIETS OF GROWER RABBIT BUCKS ON THE PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY AND ECONOMIC COST OF PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should be reduced to about 250 words</p> <p>The superscript for feed conversion ratio is not correct please rerun the analysis or check the super scripting</p> <p>Why the high mortality in treatment 1 (control) and 2 ?</p> <p>How and why do you run statistical analysis for your economics of production because it deals with cost please explain or remove the super script and discuss as monetary values or in monetary terms.</p> <p>The Journals on Red should be italisized and every others that follows</p>	<p>The abstract has been reduced to less than 300 words which is within the journal's stipulated guideline.</p> <p>The superscripts has been corrected.</p> <p>The mortality is not high because we had six rabbits in each treatment, in treatment 1 we lose two rabbits out of six, one to accident and the other to disease and in treatment 1, we lose one rabbit out of six. Though when expressed or calculated on percentage (%) basis, it seem to be high but it is not.</p> <p>All the journal cited has been italisized.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The research work is explicit and well carried out	Thank you Sir
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The corrections sited need to be effected	All the corrections has been effected.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	