

## **Original Research Article**

### **EFFECT OF AIR-DRIED MUCUNA (*Mucuna pruriens*) LEAF MEAL IN THE DIETS OF GROWER RABBIT BUCKS ON THE PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY AND ECONOMIC COST OF PRODUCTION**

**Comment [u1]:** Performance, Nutrient digestibility and Economic cost of production of Weaner Rabbit Bucks fed diets containing dried *Mucuna pruriens* leaf meal

#### **ABSTRACT**

A twelve week feeding trial was conducted to investigate the effect of air-dried mucuna (*Mucuna pruriens*) leaf meal (MLM) in the diets of grower rabbit bucks. Mucuna leaf meal was included at 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% for T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> respectively. Thirty grower rabbits bucks were assigned to five experimental dietary treatments denoted T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> respectively in a completely Randomized Design (CRD). Each treatment had six rabbits with each serving as a replicate. Fibre analysis results showed that mucuna leaf meal had 22% cellulose, 11% acid detergent lignin, high level of 38% hemicellulose, 49% neutral detergent fibre and 33% acid detergent fibre. Results of performance showed that there were no significant differences in final body weight, daily weight gain, daily feed and protein intake. Protein efficiency ratio and feed conversion ratio were significantly higher at T<sub>1</sub>. Nutrient digestibility by rabbit indicates significant different ( $p < 0.05$ ) in ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF), and crude protein (CP). EE and CF were significantly higher at T<sub>4</sub>, and CP was highest at T<sub>1</sub>. There was no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) in NFE across the treatments. There was also no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) for cost per kg gain. Cost of feed consumed, total cost and percentage feed cost were significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) at T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>3</sub>. Percentage drug cost was significantly better ( $P < 0.05$ ) at T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>, percentage cage cost and animal were statistically depressed ( $P < 0.05$ ) at T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> and highest at T<sub>5</sub>. It was concluded that mucuna (*Mucuna pruriens*) leaf meal has bfgfpotentials as a valuable unconventional leguminous feedstuff. The use of mucuna pruriens leaf meal in the diets of rabbit bucks promoted growth best at 5% and thus carcass yield. Rabbit farmer can incorporate mucuna pruriens leaf meal at 5% for growth performance.

**Key words:** mucuna, rabbit bucks, performance, nutrient digestibility and economic of production

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Small-livestock such as rabbits have advantages of fast growth, large litter size, and short generation interval and good quality consumable and non-consumable animal products, but are faced with the challenge of feedstuff availability and affordability. In Nigeria today, the cost of feeding livestock intensively is over 70%<sup>24</sup>. Based on their findings, unconventional feedstuffs

37 could play a vital role in alleviating this enormous challenge posed by intensive feeding in  
38 livestock production, because they are mostly cost-free and are readily available.

39  
40 The cost of feeding rabbits is high, a condition that also prevails for other Nigerian livestock  
41 species<sup>1</sup>. Less developed countries, like Nigeria, are facing serious competition between human  
42 and livestock (especially, the monogastric animals) for available conventional feedstuffs<sup>30</sup>. This  
43 increased competition for available conventional feeds and scarcity of food have both  
44 encouraged nutritionists, scientists and agriculturists to research into the use of unconventional  
45 feedstuffs that are cheap, readily available and are possible substitute for more expensive protein  
46 sources (groundnut cake and soybean meal) and energy sources such as maize<sup>22</sup>.

47 Forages offer a considerable potential as major source of energy, protein, minerals and vitamins  
48 for herbivorous animals and are readily available<sup>19</sup>. Forages not only serve as a source of fibre  
49 for rabbit, they are essential for normal functioning of the gut health and mobility; caecotrophy  
50 and appetite stimulation<sup>9</sup>.

51  
52 *Mucuna pruriens*, widely known as “velvet bean,” is a vigorous annual climbing legume  
53 originally from Southern China and eastern India, where it is at one time widely cultivated as a  
54 green crop<sup>10</sup>. It is one of the most popular green crops currently known in the tropics. Velvet  
55 beans have great potential as both food and feed as suggested by experiences worldwide. The  
56 velvet beans has been traditionally used as a food source by certain ethnic groups in a number of  
57 countries. It is cultivated in Asia, America, Africa, and Pacific Islands, where its pods are used as  
58 a vegetable for human consumption, and its young leaves are used for animal folder.

59  
60 *Mucuna utilis* (velvet bean), a tropics legume, is little known and has a low human preference as  
61 an energy/protein source but high preferences in livestock feed<sup>11</sup>. It is comparable to soya bean  
62 in terms of amino acid and mineral profile<sup>17</sup>. However, the use of velvet beans as a source of  
63 protein for monogastrics is limited by the presence of antinutritional factors like trypsin  
64 inhibitors, haemagglutinins, phytic acids, hydrocyanic acid and tannins<sup>12</sup>.

65  
66 Little has been reported on the use of mucuna leaves in the diets of rabbits. Therefore, mucuna  
67 leaf meal, a potential feedstuff, could play a vital role in livestock production, hence, its effect on  
68 the performance of growing rabbit bucks was investigated in this study.

69

## 70 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 71 Experimental site

72 The study was conducted at the Rabbitry Unit of the Livestock Teaching and Research Farm,  
73 University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State. Makurdi lies between Latitude 7<sup>0</sup>44'N and  
74 longitude 8<sup>0</sup>21'E in the Southern Guinea savanna Zone, Benue State. The area has an annual  
75 rainfall of 6-7 months in duration (i.e. March – October) and ranging from 508 to 1016 mm with  
76 a minimum temperature range of 24.20 +1.4<sup>0</sup>C and maximum temperature range of 36.33  
77 +3.70<sup>0</sup>C, respectively. The relative humidity ranges between 39.50 + 2.20% and 64.00 +  
78 4.80%<sup>29</sup>. Experimental period; March to June, 2018

### 79 Collection, Processing and Diet Preparation of Experimental diets

80 Mucuna leaves were sourced from within Makurdi metropolis at the back of Rabbitry Unit of the  
81 Livestock Teaching and Research Farm, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State. The  
82 leaves were harvested from the wild, such that yellow leaves were discarded, leaving the  
83 greenish leaves for use. Harvested leaves were air-dried, turned frequently to enhance uniform  
84 drying until the material became crispy. Air-dried mucuna leaves were milled using a roller  
85 miller to obtain mucuna leaf meal (MLM) which was subjected to proximate analysis<sup>5</sup> and the  
86 proximate composition determined. Five iso-caloric and iso-nitrogenous experimental diets were  
87 formulated which contained 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% mucuna leaf meal and 0%MLM  
88 served as the control diet (Table 1).

89

### 90 Experimental Design

91 The experimental design was completely randomized design (CRD). Thirty rabbits were grouped  
92 into five with each group containing six animals and replicated six times; each animal serving as  
93 a replicate. The treatment groups were balanced of their weights and randomly exposed to the  
94 five dietary treatments.

### 95 Experimental Animals and Management

96 Thirty healthy grower rabbit bucks of about four to five (4-5) weeks of age were purchased from  
97 farms within Makurdi metropolis for the study. Before the arrival of the rabbits, the cages,  
98 feeders and drinkers were properly cleaned and disinfected using izal. On arrival, the rabbits  
99 were housed individually in 40 x 60 x 40 cm<sup>3</sup> cages having wire mesh floor, 1m above the

**Comment [u2]:** Which period was the experiment conducted example May to August, 2018

**Comment [u3]:** Collection, processing and preparation of experimental diets

100 ground and acclimatized for 10 days. Standard rabbit husbandry practices including medications,  
101 recommended sanitary space measures and other health practices were strictly observed  
102 throughout the experimental period <sup>15</sup>. The animals were served feed and water ad-libitum daily  
103 for 84 days

104

105

106 Table 1. Feed Composition of Grower Rabbits Diets Containing mucuna leaf meal (MLM)

Ingredients	Experimental Diets				
	T <sub>1</sub> (0%MLM)	T <sub>2</sub> (5%MLM)	T <sub>3</sub> (10%MLM)	T <sub>4</sub> (15%MLM)	T <sub>5</sub> (20%MLM)
Maize	38	38	37	34	33
Maize offal	12.15	10.15	7.15	8.15	8.15
Brewer dry grain	10	10	10	10	10
Soya bean meal	16	12	12	9	7
Rice offal	19	19	19	19	17
Mucuna leaf meal	0	5	10	15	20
Blood	2	3	3	2	2
Bone ash	2	2	2	2	2
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Methionine	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100	100	100	100	100
<b>Calculated</b>	<b>Analysis (%)</b>				
Crude protein	17.23	17.25	17.33	17.10	17.29
Crude fibre	13.02	13.17	13.38	13.81	13.45
ME (Kcal/kg)	2560.41	2570.24	2580.00	2567.76	2587.01
Ether extract	2.2	2.30	2.46	2.53	2.66
Calcium	1.2	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.16
Phosphorus	0.8	0.84	0.82	0.80	0.76
Methionine	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.19
Lysine	1.56	1.21	1.21	1.37	1.32

Comment [u4]: Remove

108 **Table 2: Proximate composition of Experimental Diets (Analysed)**

Constituents	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>
Moisture (%)	11.88	11.97	11.77	10.89	12.00
Ash (%)	9.50	5.42	10.14	17.47	7.79
EE (%)	5.18	5.52	6.39	6.87	6.24
Fibre (%)	12.81	15.01	11.19	20.80	15.41
Protein (%)	16.94	16.39	15.42	18.56	18.23
NFE (%)	43.60	45.69	45.12	25.43	40.34

Comment [u5]: Moisture (%), Ash (%),.....

109 NFE=Nitrogen Free Extract, EE= ether extract, T<sub>1</sub>= 0%MLM, T<sub>2</sub>= 5%MLM, T<sub>3</sub>= 10%MLM,

110 T<sub>4</sub>= 15%MLM, and T<sub>5</sub>= 20%MLM, %= percentage, MLM= Mucuna leaf meal

111 **Proximate Composition**

112 Proximate composition of mucuna leaf meal, treatment diets as well as fecal samples collected  
 113 during digestibility trial were determined using the standard methods <sup>5</sup> of Purity Laboratory Jos,  
 114 Plateau State.

115  
 116 **Fibre Fraction**

117 Fibre fraction of mucuna leaf meal was determined at Animal Nutrition laboratory Department  
 118 of Animal Nutrition, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State.

119  
 120 **Growth Performance Indices**

121 Feed intake (FI): a known quantity of feed offered (FO) to each rabbit and the leftover feed  
 122 (LOF) at the end of every week were weighed and the feed consumed was calculated by  
 123 difference. That is, FI= FO – LOF

124 Body weight gain (BWG): Individual rabbits were weighed at the commencement of the trial,  
 125 weekly thereafter, and at the end of the feeding trial. Total weight gain was obtained by  
 126 difference between final live body weight (FW) and initial body weight (IW). That is, FW – IW.

127 Daily weight gain was determined as the total weight gain divided the number of the days the  
 128 experiment lasted.

129 Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR): This is a measure of an animal's efficiency in converting feed  
130 into desired output. It was calculated as the ratio of feed intake to body weight gain

131

132 
$$FCR = \frac{FI}{BWG} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

133

134 Protein Intake (PI) was calculated as follows;  $FI \times \% \text{ crude protein in feed}$ .

135 Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER); this expresses numerically the growth promoting value of  
136 protein, it involves using the weight of protein intake in a test diet to divide the weight gain by  
137 the animal on the test diet. It was calculated as follows;

138 
$$PER = \frac{BWG}{PI} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

139 **Digestibility Trial**

140 At the end of the 11<sup>th</sup> week of the feeding trial, three (3) rabbits, with live weights closed to their  
141 treatment average were selected from each treatment and used for the digestibility trial. Faecal  
142 collection lasted for five (5) days. During this period, nylon net were tied under individual rabbit  
143 cages for daily faecal collection. Before the commencement of faecal collection, the rabbits were  
144 deprived of feed for 18 hours to ensure that faecal collection corresponded to the feed offered.  
145 The fresh collected faeces were weighed and oven dried at 80<sup>o</sup>C for 24 hours, the oven-dried  
146 faeces per replicate was also weighed. At the end of the digestibility study, collected faeces from  
147 each replicate were bulked, thoroughly mixed together and milled. Samples of the milled faeces  
148 were stored in air tight containers for proximate analysis. Also sample of feed from each  
149 treatment were taken for proximate analysis. Proximate composition was determined as outlined  
150 by<sup>5</sup>

151 Digestibility coefficients were calculated using the following equation by<sup>20</sup>.

153 
$$\text{Apparent digestibility} = \frac{\text{Nutrient in feed} - \text{Nutrient in faeces}}{\text{Nutrient in feed}} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

154

155

156 **Economics of production**

157 The cost of feed ingredients and other services such as transportation and processing (milling of  
158 mucuna leaf) were used to get the actual cost of each ingredient during the study. The  
159 formulation for each diet was used to determine the cost of feed by multiplying unit cost (₦) of  
160 each ingredient by its proportion in the diet to determine its cost contribution to the diet. The sum  
161 of all the cost contribution from all the ingredients that made up each diet gave the units cost of  
162 (₦) diet. Value of rabbit per kg multiply by the final weight (kg) were used to get revenue.  
163 Benefit per rabbit was gotten by subtracting total cost of production from revenue. Cost benefit  
164 ratio was calculated by dividing total cost of production by benefits per rabbit. Feed cost divided  
165 by total cost multiplied by one hundred gives percentage feed cost. Percentage drug cost equals  
166 to drug cost divided by total cost multiplied by one hundred. Cage cost divided by total cost  
167 multiplied by one hundred equals to percentage cage cost and percentage animal cost was  
168 determine as cost of animal divided by total cost multiplied by one hundred.

169

#### 170 **Statistical Analysis**

171 All the data generated were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical  
172 Software (SPSS version 16) and significant differences were separated using its Duncan New  
173 Multiple Range Test<sup>(28)</sup>.

174

175

176 **RESULTS**

177 **Table 3:** Proximate composition and fibre fraction of mucuna leaf meal (MLM)

Composition	Percentages (%)
Dry matter	88.97
Ash	10.25
Ether extract	4.91
Crude fibre	26.54
Crude protein	26.09
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	21.18
Fraction	%
Cellulose	22
Hemicellulose	38
Neutral detergent fibre	49
Acid detergent fibre	33
Acid detergent lignin	11

178  
179 Table 3 shows proximate composition and fibre fraction of mucuna leaf meal (MLM). The value  
180 11.03 for moisture in this study is lower than 12.50%<sup>13</sup> but similar to 11.37%<sup>31</sup>. The crude  
181 protein of 26.09% recorded for MLM was higher than the values of 22.94%<sup>13</sup> but lower than  
182 31.91% by<sup>31</sup>. The value of 4.91% ether extract in MLM was lower than 8.50%<sup>14</sup> and<sup>13</sup>. Crude  
183 fibre (26.54%) obtained is higher than 12.50% and 14.80%<sup>31</sup>. Ash 10.25% obtained in this study  
184 was higher than 5.80% recorded by<sup>16</sup>. NFE (21.18%) recorded in this study was lower than  
185 47.51%<sup>14</sup>. From the results and reports, it is noted that differences exist among report with  
186 composition and these differences could be attributed to variations in processing method and  
187 varieties. However, on average, the best material (MLM) is a fibrous protein source.

188  
189  
190

191 **Fibre Fraction of Mucuna Leaf Meal**

192 The fibre fractions are presented in table 3. Higher cellulose and acid detergent lignin (ADL)  
193 lead to low degradation by the microbes. Higher percentages of hemicellulose, neutral detergent  
194 fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) indicates high nutrient degradation by microbes  
195 which shows that mucuna leaf meal is saved for rabbit. The value of NDF 49% in this present  
196 study is higher than 43% reported by <sup>4</sup>, 33% ADF in this research is lower compare to 38% as  
197 quoted by <sup>4</sup>. The value for ADL in this work is higher than 7.1% as reported by the earlier  
198 author.  
199

200 **Table 4: Growth Performance of Rabbit Bucks Fed Diets containing Different levels of Mucuna Leaf Meal (MLM)**

Parameters	Experimental Diets					SEM	P-value
	T <sub>1</sub> (0%MLM)	T <sub>2</sub> (5%MLM)	T <sub>3</sub> (10%MLM)	T <sub>4</sub> (15%MLM)	T <sub>5</sub> (20%MLM)		
Final Weight (g)	1719.0	1645.8	1583.7	1459.2	1467.0	155.51	.062
Total Weight Gain (g)	1263.0	1143.6	1095.8	978.83	983.00	171.95	.083
Daily Weight Gain (g)	15.04	13.05	13.05	11.59	11.73	2.03	.073
Total Feed Intake (g)	5201.50	5737.40	5464.33	5336.67	5364.33	516.94	.590
Daily Feed Intake (g)	62.67	69.13	65.83	64.28	64.63	6.23	.589
Protein Intake (g)	10.79	11.92	11.41	10.99	11.18	1.07	.542
Protein Efficiency Ratio	1.39 <sup>a</sup>	1.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	1.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.12 <sup>*</sup>	.023
Feed Conversion Ratio	4.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.04 <sup>ab</sup>	5.09 <sup>ab</sup>	5.76 <sup>b</sup>	5.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 <sup>*</sup>	.023
Mortality Rate (%)	33.3	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—

201

Means on the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05), SED= Standard error of mean, \*=significant, T<sub>1</sub> = 0%MLM, T<sub>2</sub> = 5%MLM, T<sub>3</sub> = 10%MLM, T<sub>4</sub> = 15%MLM, T<sub>5</sub> = 20%ML

204 Table 4 contained growth performance of rabbit bucks fed diets containing mucuna leaf meal  
205 (MLM). There were no significant differences in the final body weight (FBW), daily weight gain  
206 (DWG), daily feed intake (DFI), and protein intake. Animals on T<sub>1</sub> (controlled diet) had the highest  
207 FBW (1719.0g) and lowest in T<sub>4</sub> (1459.2g). The daily weight gain of 11.59-15.04g per rabbit per  
208 day were lower than 17.65-18.57g/day<sup>3</sup> and 18.00-20.00g<sup>2</sup>, but was higher than 4.94-14.80g/day<sup>8</sup>  
209 and 8.70-9.91 g/day<sup>18</sup> who fed rabbits on different levels of groundnut haulms. The average daily  
210 weight gain was better at T<sub>1</sub> (0% MLM), T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> but decreased numerically at T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> which  
211 had the lowest figures. This implied that mucuna leaf meal had some growth suppressing effect in  
212 the diets of rabbits on the weight gain. The quantity of feed consumed per rabbit per day observed  
213 in this study was however quite higher than 48.83 – 52.13 g<sup>25</sup>, 44.73 to 57.90 g<sup>21</sup> but lower than  
214 77.64 – 87.59 g and 63.89 -82.46 g<sup>6</sup>. Similarities (p>0.05) observed in feed intake suggest that all  
215 the diets were palatable and thus accepted by the rabbits as the inclusion of MLM at varying levels  
216 did not depressed feed consumption. There were no significant differences (P<0.05) in protein  
217 intake and the values ranged from 10.79 to 11.92g.

218  
219 Significant differences (P<0.05) occurred in the feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio.  
220 The values of feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio (Table 3) obtained in this study  
221 showed that the control diet (T<sub>1</sub>) was better. The significant difference between the control (T<sub>1</sub>), T<sub>4</sub>  
222 and T<sub>5</sub> indicate a decline in absorption with the level of mucuna in the body beyond 10%. This has  
223 also manifested in the final weight. Though the final weight is statistically seminar on analysis of  
224 variance, the trendy decrease in final weight clearly shows that it is not a chance occurrence but diet  
225 effect.

226

227 **Table 5: Digestibility of Rabbit Bucks fed Diets containing different levels of Mucuna Leaf**  
 228 **Meal (MLM)**

Parameters	Experimental Diets					SEM	P-value
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>		
Ether extract	67.83 <sup>c</sup>	63.50 <sup>c</sup>	73.13 <sup>b</sup>	75.37 <sup>a</sup>	61.71 <sup>c</sup>	5.25 <sup>*</sup>	.039
Crude Fibre	27.03 <sup>b</sup>	21.68 <sup>b</sup>	10.33 <sup>b</sup>	56.16 <sup>a</sup>	21.44 <sup>b</sup>	8.97 <sup>*</sup>	.001
Crude Protein	80.44 <sup>a</sup>	72.77 <sup>b</sup>	71.07 <sup>c</sup>	78.82 <sup>b</sup>	71.26 <sup>c</sup>	4.11 <sup>*</sup>	.049
NFE	80.23	79.31	80.49	75.66	76.12	5.46	.715

229 abc Means on the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05), SEM=  
 230 Standard error of mean, T<sub>1</sub> = 0%MLM, T<sub>2</sub> = 5%MLM, T<sub>3</sub> = 10%MLM, T<sub>4</sub> = 15%MLM, T<sub>5</sub> =  
 231 20%MLM, NFE= nitrogen free extract.  
 232

233 Table 5 showed coefficient digestibility of nutrients by rabbit bucks fed diets containing different  
 234 levels of mucuna leaf meal (MLM)  
 235 There were significant (P<0.05) differences in nutrient digestibility by rabbit. Ether extract and  
 236 crude fibre varied without pattern while crude protein varied first from 0 to 10%, became elevated  
 237 at 15% and fell again at 20%. This was almost a trend of declined except 15% which cannot be  
 238 explained. NFE was not significantly affected meaning that energy utilization was similar across the  
 239 treatment. The coefficient of digestibility of crude protein which ranged from 71.07%-80.44%, was  
 240 higher than 63.30 to 76.28%<sup>23</sup> but comparable with 70.56 to 81.31% and 72.25 to 82.88%<sup>27</sup> and<sup>26</sup>  
 241 respectively. This suggested good availability of crude protein in the diet with MLM up to 20%  
 242 inclusion levels. High values indicated efficient utilization of protein for tissue accretion while  
 243 lower values are indications of poor crude protein utilization for tissue synthesis<sup>26</sup>. The crude fibre  
 244 digestibility was low in T<sub>3</sub> (10.33%) and high in T<sub>4</sub> (56.16%). Coefficient digestibility of crude  
 245 fibre (10.33%-56.16%) was low compared to values 27.54 to 56.36 21 and 71.00 to 82.29%<sup>27</sup>  
 246

247 Ether extract ranged from 61.71% - 75.37 % and were lower than 71.12% - 78.43% as reported by  
248 <sup>26</sup>. High digestibility value of ether extract in this study attests to the rabbit ability to utilize dietary  
249 fat <sup>26</sup>.

250 The high digestibility of NFE represents the readily available carbohydrates. This could be an  
251 indication that readily available carbohydrates were well utilized by the rabbits across the  
252 treatments.

253 **Table 6: Economic cost of production of Rabbit Bucks fed Diets containing Mucuna Leaf Meal (MLM)**  
 254

Parameters	Experimental Diets					SEM	P-value
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>		
Cost of weaner rabbit (₦)	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	-	-
Cost per Kg	90.95	86.74	85.05	74.98	70.83	-	-
Cost of feed consumed (₦)	473.08 <sup>a</sup>	497.66 <sup>a</sup>	464.74 <sup>a</sup>	400.14 <sup>b</sup>	379.96 <sup>b</sup>	43.76*	.001
Cost per kg gain	379.23	437.17	432.90	431.51	396.77	55.29	.399
Total cost (₦)	1.853 <sup>a</sup>	1.878 <sup>a</sup>	1.845 <sup>a</sup>	1.780 <sup>b</sup>	1.7600 <sup>b</sup>	43.76*	.001
Revenue (₦)	3.438	3.292	3.167	2.918	2.934	311.02	.062
Benefit per Rabbit (₦)	1.585	1.414	1.323	1.138	1.173	282.09	.125
Cost Benefit ratio	1.190	1.344	1.415	1.667	1.580	1.354	.158
% feed cost	25.397 <sup>a</sup>	26.478 <sup>a</sup>	25.180 <sup>a</sup>	22.363 <sup>b</sup>	21.562 <sup>b</sup>	1.766*	.000
% Drug cost	8.108 <sup>b</sup>	7.992 <sup>b</sup>	8.132 <sup>b</sup>	8.427 <sup>a</sup>	8.527 <sup>a</sup>	0.189*	.000
% Cage Cost	1.193 <sup>c</sup>	1.720 <sup>c</sup>	1.192 <sup>b</sup>	1.225 <sup>ab</sup>	1.258 <sup>a</sup>	0.031*	.000
% Animal Cost	66.307 <sup>b</sup>	63.921 <sup>d</sup>	65.100 <sup>b</sup>	67.421 <sup>ab</sup>	68.200 <sup>a</sup>	1.059*	.000

255

256 ab Means on the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05), SEM= Standard error of mean, \*=significant,

257 T<sub>1</sub> = 0%MLM, T<sub>2</sub> = 5%MLM, T<sub>3</sub> = 10%MLM, T<sub>4</sub> = 15%MLM, T<sub>5</sub> = 20%MLM, %= percentage, ₦361= \$1.

258 Table 6 economic of production of rabbit bucks fed different levels of mucuna leaf  
259 meal (MLM)

260 The result of economics of production in this feeding trial showed that cost/kg of the diet was  
261 higher in T<sub>1</sub> (₦90.95) and progressively reduced to T<sub>5</sub> (₦70.83) as the level of MLM was  
262 increasing in the diets due to the minimal cost of MLM. The cost/kg of the diets, agrees with the  
263 findings of <sup>7</sup> who observed that the cost/kg feed was reduced generally with increasing dietary  
264 yam peel meal. There was no significant difference among the treatments for cost per kg gain,  
265 revenue, benefit per rabbit, and cost benefits ratio. However, the cost of feed consumed, total  
266 cost of production, percentage feed cost, percentage drug cost, percentage cage cost and  
267 percentage animal cost were significantly different (P<0.05). Cost of feed consumed, total cost of  
268 production and percentage feed cost were trendy, they were higher at T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> and declined  
269 at T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>, meaning the cost reduced as the test ingredient increased to 15 and 20%  
270 respectively and these were in agreement with the report of <sup>7</sup> who worked on Effects of  
271 Replacing Maize with Sun-Dried Yam Peel Meal on Growth Performance Carcass  
272 Characteristics and Economics of Production of Meat Type Rabbit. The percentage drug cost,  
273 percentage cage cost and percentage animal does not follow any particular trend and as such the  
274 variations cannot be attributed to the effect of MLM.

275 The significant differences observed in this study could be attributed to variations in the body  
276 weight gain of the animal, disease infestations and different quantities of feed consumed among  
277 other things.

278 Base on the findings from this study, the following conclusions have been drawn:

279 This study has revealed that *Mucna pruriens* leaf meal has potentials as a valuable  
280 unconventional leguminous feedstuff.

281 The use of *Mucuna pruriens* leaf meal in the diets of rabbit bucks promoted growth best at 5%  
282 and thus carcass yield.

283 The economic analysis revealed that with MLM, benefit can be maximized at 20% level of  
284 inclusion and also it costs less to produce 1kg live weight of rabbit as level of MLM increased.

285 Based on the results obtained from this study it could be recommended that:

286 Rabbit farmers can incorporate *Mucuna pruriens* leaf meal at 5% in the diets of rabbit bucks for  
287 growth performance.

## References

1. Adeyemi, O.A., R. A. Sobayo, F. A. Aluko and D. B. Oke. (2008). Utilisation of rumen filtrate fermented corn-cobs by weaner rabbits. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 35(1):69-75.
2. Aduku, O. A., Dima, N. I., & Aganga, A. A. (1988). A note on comparative evaluation of palm kernel meal, pea-nut meal and sunflower meal in diets of weaned rabbits. *Journal of Applied Rabbit Research*, 11, 264-265.
3. Agunbiade, J. A., Adeyemi, O. A., Fasina, O. E., Ashorobi, B. O., Adebajo, M. O., & Waide, O. A. (1999). Cassava peels and leaves in the diets of rabbits: Effect on performance and carcass characteristics. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 26, 29-34
4. Andrea, M. M., and Pablo E. C. (1999). A tropical forage solution to poor quality ruminant diets: A review of Lablab purpurens. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* (11) 2 1999.
5. AOAC. (2002). Official of analysis of AOAC International. 17<sup>th</sup> edition. 1st revision. Gaithersburg, MD, USA, Association of Analytical Communities.
6. Attah, S., Ortserga, D. D., & Anugwa, F. O. I. (2011). Effect of replacement of rice offal levels of melon (*Citrullus vulgaris*) seed offal on performance of growing rabbits. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 38(2), 67-73.
7. Ayoola, M.A., and Akinbani, A.S. (2011). Effect of replacing maize with sun-dried yam peel meal on growth performance, carcass characteristics and economics of production of meat type rabbit. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2(4):70-73
8. Bawa, G. S., Orunmuyi, M., & Onabanjo, O. A. (2005). Effect of dietary inclusion levels of tresmechanically extracted neem seed cake on performance of young rabbits. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 32(2), 233-239.
9. De Blas, C. and Wiseman, J. (2003). The nutrition of the rabbit. Cabi Publishing, Oxon, UK.
10. Duke J.A. New York, NY, USA: Plenum press; 1981. Handbook of legumes of world economic importance.
11. Emenalom, O. O. and Nwachukwu, I. C. (2006). Effect of calcium hydroxide soaked and cooked velvet beans (*Mucuna pruriens*) on the performance of finisher broilers. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 33(1):53-57
12. Emiola, I. A., Ologhobo, A. D., Akinlade, J., Adedeji, O. S. and Bamigbade, O. M. (2003). Effects of inclusion of differently processed mucuna seed meal on performance characteristics of broilers. *Tropical Animal Production Investigation* 6:13-21
13. Ezeokonkwo, M. A. and Okafor, Sunday, N. (2015). Proximate Composition of *Mucuna utilis* (Velvet Bean). *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry (IOSR-Jac)*. e-ISSN: 2278-5736. Vol. 8, Issue 10 Ver. 1(Oct. 2015), PP 42-45 www.iosrjournals.org
14. Fathima K. R., P. Tresina Soris, and V.R. Mohan (2010). Nutritional and Antinutritional Assessment of *Mucuna pruriens* (L.) DC. Var. *pruriens*; an Underutilized Tribal Pulse. *Advances in Bio Research*, Vol 1(2) December 2010:79-89.
15. Fielding, D. (1991). Rabbits. *The Tropical Agriculturalist*. CTA. Macmillan Education Ltd. Macmillan Publishers London, UK, pp: 16-17.
16. Ifemeje, J. C. (2016). Chemical and Phytochemical Compositions of *Mucuna pruriens* Leaves. *African Journal of Science and Research*, (5) 2:14-17.

17. Iyayi E. A., Taiwo V. O. (2003). The effect of diet incorporating mucuna (*Mucuna Pruriens*) seed meal on the performance of laying hens and broiler. Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst., 1: 239-246.
18. Iyeghe-Erakpotobor, G. T., Tudunwada, I. K., Tijani, A., Muhammad, I. R., & Bello, N. (2012). Influence of level of groundnut haulms and feeding system on performance of growing rabbits. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production, 38(1), 64-73.
19. Kubkomawa, H, Olaweuye, H. U., Etuk, E. B. and Okoli J. C. (2015). Nutrient Requirements and feed resource availability for pastoral cattle in the tropical Africa; A review. J Agric. and Crop Res. 3(7): 100-116.
20. McDonald, P., Edward, R. A., Greenlagh, J. F. D. and Morgan, C. A. (1998). Animal Nutrition, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Chapter 10: Evaluation of Foods (A) Digestibility, pp: 221-229.
21. Mufwa B. J., Kibon A., Mafindi M., Yakubu J. A. (2011). Effect of graded levels of brewers dried grain on the performance of growing rabbits: 1. Growth performance and economic of production. J. Sci. Multidisc. Res. Volume (3) 47-54.
22. Obun, C.O. and O.A. Adeyemi. (2012). Effects of raw and toasted Daniellia oliveri Rolfe seed meal as replacement for groundnut meal on the performance of broiler chickens. Revista Científica UDO Agrícola 12 (4): 947-954.
23. Ojebiyi, O.O., Oladunjoye, I.O., Aboderin, O.J. and Okelade A.A. (2013). Synergetic Effect of Cassava Sievate/Soybean Milk Residue Mixtures as Replacement for Maize in Growing Rabbits Diet. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*.3, No.7, 2013. Pp. 126-135.
24. Oluremi, O. I. A., Mou, P. M. and Adenkola, A. Y. (2008). Effect of fermentation of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) fruit peel on its maize replacement value in broiler diet. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 26(5).
25. Oluremi, O.I.A., Igyu, A.D. and Abu, F.T. (2005). Response of growing rabbits to dietary replacement of maize with sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) rind. *Production Agriculture and Technology* 1(1): 130-136.
26. Onifade, A. A. and Tewe, O. O. (1993), Alternative tropical energy feed resources in rabbit diets: Growth performance, diet digestibility and blood composition. *World rabbit Science*. 1:17-24.
27. Oso, A. O., Bamgbose, A. M., Isah, O. A., Olatunji, J. E. N., Mabadeje, A. T., Alade, A. A and Oni, A. O. (2006). Performance of weaner rabbits fed rice millings waste based diets. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 5(10): 836-838.
28. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, 2007). Version 16.0. Polar Engineering and Consulting <http://www.winwrap.com>
29. TAC. (2009). Tactical Air Command Meteorological Data. Nigeria Air Force, Makurdi.
30. Teguaia, A. and A.C. Beynen. (2005). Alternative feedstuffs for broilers in Cameroon. Livestock Res Rural Dev. 17(3). Art. 34. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd17/3/tegu17034.htm>.
31. Ujowundu CO, Kalu FN, Emejulu AA, Okafor OE, Nkwonta CG, Nwosunjoku CE (2010). Evaluation of the chemical composition of *Mucuna utilis* leaves used in Herbal Medicine in South-Eastern Nigeria. Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 4(11): 811-816.