



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_50522
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSUMERS' AWARENESS REGARDING THE EFFECT OF ANTIBIOTIC USED IN ANIMAL FEED ON HUMAN HEALTH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The authors should provide scientific rather than logical interpretations of result. Similarly, the use of various project reports from similar institution may not be a true picture of the scenario. More so, statistical presentation of the results is poorly done and it should be seriously reconsidered.	I humbly beg pardon from the honoured reviewer. Actually logical interpretation is nothing but scientific interpretation. Discussion section added. 11 more journal articles' information is added in the discussion section. Highlighted in yellow colour.
Optional/General comments	I have observed that almost 50% of the references were thesis reports. The author(s) should expand the literature search beyond this.	11 more journal articles' information is added in the discussion section. Highlighted in yellow colour.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issues are present in this manuscript.