



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRB_48223</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Proximate analysis and phytochemical profile of Brachystegia eurycoma leaves</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The manuscript found with write up not completely certain on proximate analysis on a plant obscure for its food's uses. Also lacking in some other justifications. Author is requested to read comments given in the reviewed manuscript to improve on the write-up.</b>	Read all comments carefully, clarified some issues of concern in the corrected manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Much spelling and grammar mistakes found. Kindly read some of the issues as indicated within the reviewed manuscript (attached file)	Thanks. Read and corrected. View corrected manuscript
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The reviewer suggested for the author to consider this as a preliminary study for others to select the plant for further study hence provided some modifications along that direction. It is up to the author to strongly consider the suggestions/comments before being accepted.	Noted

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>  Ethical none but it is usually correct to include voucher number to indicate authentication of plant by a trained botanist.	Noted and corrected