



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_50623
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH AZO FOOD DYE (TARTRAZINE) IN MALE ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) provided interesting results of "BIOCHEMICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH AZO FOOD DYE (TARTRAZINE) IN MALE ALBINO RATS". I'm impressed by the writing style and good use of English language. However the following should be noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct the spelling of Tartrazine on line 28. • Where there are two or more in-text references, the reference numbers should be enclosed in one parenthesis, eg., [2,7] and [14,15,16]. • The names of the authors mentioned in lines 75, 76, 84, 99, 107, 118 and other places should be removed and replaced with their reference numbers enclosed in parenthesis only. • The underlining in line 160 should be removed. • All the authors names mentioned under the Discussion Section should be removed and replaced with their reference numbers in parenthesis only. 	<p>Thank you so much for your valuable input and corrections in order to improve the quality of this article. We sincerely appreciate your time, expertise and effort.</p> <p>Ok. Done.</p> <p>Ok. Thank you</p> <p>Ok. We have done that too.</p> <p>Ok. We have removed the underlining. However, we underlined because we were following the guideline provided for authors.</p> <p>Ok. Thank you. Done.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A comprehensive study of the subject matter.	Thank you for your valuable contributions.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There were ethical issues in this work since blood and tissue samples were collected from experimental rats. On that note, approval was given by the Rivers State University ethical committee with file no: RSU/CV/APU/74/VOL.VIII/104.