



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_50849
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemolytic Potential of Ethanolic Leaf extract of Talinum triangulare in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of the manuscript contradicts the outcome of the experiment. The result shows no hemolytic property rather it indicates enhanced hemopoiesis in the animal.</p> <p>The result section of the abstract should be re- written.</p> <p>The author informed that samples were prepared for biochemical analysis but results were not presented.</p> <p>Discussion is too elaborate. Author should make write a concise discussion.</p>	Thank you for this observation, it has been effected.
Minor REVISION comments	Indicate animal treated with normal saline as control animal in the write-up.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	<u>None</u>