



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The paper is a mini-review. It has covered the mentioned topics but putting the techniques in the title is not apt. It is to be mentioned in the abstract.</p>	<p>Have removed the techniques from the title as recommended and its now mentioned in the abstract.</p>
<p>WEKA is a tool and its API helps it to be called in other Programming Languages. It is not to be mentioned as a technique but as a tool. These inconsistencies in usage are to be corrected.</p>	<p>WEKA as been mentioned as a tool. What has been mentioned as classification techniques are: Decision Tree, Naïve Bayes, and K-Nearest Neighbors.</p>
<p>In the text, some of the words are combined and these are to be edited.</p>	<p>Have edited accordingly.</p>
<p>Further in one section, the authors have given a proposed methodology. Do they want to highlight their methodology in the review? If it is so, kindly outline the advantages over other techniques. If it is not the case kindly see to it that only one technique's methodology is highlighted.</p> <p>Kindly address these inconsistencies and then the article can be accepted.</p>	<p>Yes- we want our methodology to be highlight in the review. The advantages of the proposed methodology over other techniques have been outlined. (See in research design section)</p>