



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_50904
Title of the Manuscript:	Rural Development of Pakistan with IoT
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The paper needs a proof-reading as there are many typos and grammatical errors. Line 31... and information Distribution.., Line 41.... to the internet which creates..., and many more.</p> <p>2. It would be better if you first define the term "rural development".</p> <p>3. When you give an abbreviation (IoT), you should give it in parentheses when you use it for the first time. And then you should keep using just the abbreviation.</p> <p>4. Lines 78-87. This paragraph should be fully revised.</p> <p>5. Line 99 ... that can discuss these demands through use cases In place to...</p> <p>6. Line 100... an the analytical technique is adopted..... where is the dilemma and where is the analytical technique?</p> <p>7. Line 252.. digital assistants (PDA's), 3G and 4G.....5G is currently available.</p> <p>8. This is not a research. This is just a paper discussing a topic.</p>	I have solved all mentioned mistakes; I try my best regarding there solutions. I know its not a research paper basically it's a review paper, so please publish my manuscript in the type of article "Review Paper".
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	