



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_47669
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Heavy Metal Tolerant Jute Seeds by Germination Test
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article was satisfactory; however, I found the article was lack in discussion. The author did not discuss the result of the present study. In the discussion the author should explain why the tolerance level of seeds was different with different heavy metal and compare their result with the previous researchers whether in agreement or contradict with their findings. I recommend the authors to combine the result and discussion. I recommend the article for major revision	Good suggestion but our research team arranged it in their supervisor style. Thank You
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		