



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_46792
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Larvicidal and Pupicidal Activities of some Ethnobotanicals from North East Nigeria, against Culicine mosquito
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend biochemical to biological or mortality. - Culicine not anopheline - Third instar not fourth instar 	Corrected as instructed
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If possible Interpret your results in discussion as why mortality, why reduction of pupation and adult emergence. - If possible calculate pupal mortality as pupal mortality= (number of dead pupae/ number of pupation) x 100 - If possible calculate adult emergence as adult emergence= (number of adult emergence/number of pupation) x 100 	Corrected and effected Revised as suggested
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	