



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_46792
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Larvicidal and Pupicidal Activities of some Ethnobotanicals from North East Nigeria, against Culicine mosquito
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors need to: a) change the title, to describe exactly the work done, like crude natural extracts against ...; b) review the orthography; c) describe the method used for to dry the plants; change "extraction of organic molecules" for crude extracts; d) describe in the tables: a) <i>statistical differences</i> ; b) <i>positive control (like an commercial insecticidal)</i> , c) <i>standard deviation</i> ; e) describe in the figures: statistical differences; positive control (like an commercial insecticidal)), standard deviation; change this figure to black and white, and show the Y axis.	(A) Larvicidal Activities of some Ethnobotanicals from North East Nigeria, against <i>Culicine</i> mosquitoes (C) Methodology has been modified (D). There was no positive control (synthetic pesticide) used During this study, effect of the various treatments was considered on 3 rd instar larvae of <i>Culicine</i> mosquitoes and their extended effect on pupae emerged from the treated larvae. The total larval and pupal mortality as a result of the treatment on the larvae affected the adult emergence. No positive control used.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No