



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_49717
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Efficacy of Varied Concentrations imidacloprid in the Laboratory Management of Termites (<i>Microtermes natalensis</i>)</b>
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Too short of discussion and not in depth. I did not see the mechanism of imidacloprid in the control of termites on sand.	I have corrected. Fipronil 25g/L is my positive control.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript has to revise and review again	I don't see any need since I done corrections. Thanks

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	