



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_50567
Title of the Manuscript:	Accessibility of Agroforestry Farmers to Credit Facilities on Poultry Egg Production in Oyo State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper contains the original scientific contents with all technical details of methodology Employed for the study. The paper is well written with proper use of English. The Presentation of results and its interpretation is intelligible and very clear.</p> <p>This study reveals that the that level of education, years of experience and membership of cooperative play significant roles in credit used on poultry egg production and the major source of credit to poultry farmers was from their personal savings. The regression analysis determinants of poultry egg production are age, family size, drug quantity and marital status for farmers with access to credit as well as drug quantity and family size among poultry farmers with no access to credit while the major problem encountered by Agroforestry farmers was limited capital in poultry egg production.</p> <p>The study area lack of scientific information and research on this specific topic and the current research paper is providing very important facts with figures which seem very original and publishable.</p> <p>As per my opinion, this paper has all the merits for acceptance and publishes in the journal.</p>	Correction and additional information added

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	All correction made and accepted

