



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_50684
Title of the Manuscript:	Central conditions for a class of five-degree rigid differential systems
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Title is long it could be abbreviated at the following title ; Center conditions for a class of rigid quintic systems. Do not put the references of article in the abstract. Where is the introduction. 	I agree that my title is very long,I will modify my title as Center conditions for a class of rigid quintic systems.In the abstract,I will remove the references.And I will include a introduction in my paper to facilitate the understanding of the article.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> English should be improved by native English speaker. There are some errors that must be corrected. This article is short sort of thing. 	In this paper,I will check for language errors and make corrections. I do not think it is necessary to show some unimportant steps,so this article is relatively short. I will further modify and enrich the content of the article.
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Some sentences in the manuscript (especially at abstract) should be rewrite they may have error of plagiarism. 	I will try my best to rewrite the sentences with mistakes.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	