



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_41166
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Analysis of Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv.punicae and Comparative Study of Protein Profiling under Biotic Stress
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1] Try to avoid using words in title sentence as key words.</p> <p>2] Follow the referencing style of the journal. Use Vancouver referencing style.</p> <p>3] Figures are labelled with their label at the bottom instead of the top.</p> <p>4] The result was not properly discussed. It was more presenting the result without doing any in depth discussion on them. Authors are strongly advised to separate the results from the discussions so that more effort could be channelled into the discussions.</p>	Ok noted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		