



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48156
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of growth and virulence of five Nigerian isolates of entomopathogenic fungi using Galleria mellonella larvae for pathogenicity testing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>"Evaluation of pathogenicity of conidia" to specify :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the conidia suspensions were prepared in distilled sterilized water ? - it was added wetting agent ? If, yes, in what concentration ? - how long have the larvae been dipped ? <p><i>larvae morality</i> to be replaced with <i>larvae mortality</i></p>	Correction done
Minor REVISION comments	In all the text, to check the space between words	corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	