



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48156
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of growth and virulence of five Nigerian isolates of entomopathogenic fungi using Galleria mellonella larvae for pathogenicity testing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>"Evaluation of pathogenicity of conidia"</b> to specify :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the conidia suspensions were prepared in distilled sterilized water ?</li> <li>- it was added wetting agent ? If, yes, in what concentration ?</li> <li>- how long have the larvae been dipped ?</li> </ul> <p><i>larvae morality</i> to be replaced with <i>larvae mortality</i></p>	Correction done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In all the text, to check the space between words	corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	