SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**2019/ARRB/48346**
Title of the Manuscript:	**BIOCHEMICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALCOHOL ADMINISTRATION IN WISTAR RATS**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Paper**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Nothing was done about the title of the manuscript. As regards the changes suggested on the abstract, nothing was done about them; instead, a new dimension was introduced in the abstract. The abstract is now partitioned into: introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and conclusion; which is not to be. One is only expected to bear these in mind while writing the abstract and not to involve them as such. There is a positive response to suggestions in page 2, and one other place in page 4; these are just grammatical errors. Where technical suggestions were made, nothing was done about them. Is as if the whole thing was returned the way i highlighted them in yellow. In the photomicrograph, an additional plates for kidney and lung were added, which do not make any sense and is a far cry from the initial suggestions about photomicrograph.	**The title of the manuscript has been effected and the abstract modified accordingly. The technical errors have also been effected. The additional photomicrographs included was initially omitted hence the inclusion.** **The arrows included in the photomicrographs are indicators of where the damage was observed.**