



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50410
Title of the Manuscript:	Taxonomical investigation on some species of genus Allium based on the pollen grain micromorphology
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. INTRODUCTION</b></p> <p><u>Line 21:</u> <b>Correct “phylogentical”: omission of the letter “e”.</b></p> <p><b>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS</b></p> <p><u>Line 79:</u>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Complete the sentence by specifying whether studies have been conducted on fresh pollen grains since harvest or on pollen grains from harvested and preserved plants (herbarium) since 2003.</li> <li>- Specify the period of this study.</li> </ul> </p> <p><b>Correct the few mistakes of seizures and expression in the text.</b></p>	The manuscript has been modified
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><u>Line 2-3:</u> Proposition to reformulate the title of the manuscript  <b>Morphological investigation on some species of genus Allium based on the pollen grain micromorphology</b></p> <p><b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b></p> <p><u>General Council:</u> <b>When choosing authors' works to be used in the discussion, one must always take into account the conditions in which these works took place.</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	