SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50903
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Chemical Compositions of Two Different Non-polar Extracts of Heliotropium sudanicum Aerial Parts from Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The genus name of the plant should be mentioned in abstract. Full form of GM/MS should be used in abstract. The references should be mentioned in superscript in the text. Elaborate the utility of non-polar extracts in modern medicine. Add review of literature in discussion. The references should be in accordance to Vancouver system. 	1. As should be amended 2. As should be amended 3. As should be amended 4. As should be amended 5. As should be amended 6. As should be amended
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

ART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)