



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Biotechnology Journal International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BJI_50293 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The potential use of ectoine produced by a moderately halophilic bacteria Chromohalobacter salexigens KT989776 for enhancing germination and primary seedling of flax (Linum usitatissimum) under salinity conditions |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Title</u> – please write the author species name as “<i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.”. <u>Abstract</u> – “<i>Chromohalobacter salexigens</i>” should be write in italic. <u>Key words</u> –add this key word: “germination”. <u>Introduction</u> – line 29: Authors must have a unified stance that means either to write all these biomolecules with lowercase letters or all with first capital letter. <u>Material and methods</u> – line 85, 90, 98...: leave one pace between the numbers and the wor ex.: “2.4.1.HPLC determination”; line 98: delete the symbol “ : “ at the end of the subheading; <u>Results</u> – line 130: why is written in bold style “(Table 3)”?”; line 152: please finish the sentence with dot “ . “; linw 168: use the first capital letter “spectra”. <u>Discussion</u> – why “KRASILNIKOV “ is written in capital letters?; line 222-234, 267-272: the text should be obligatory format!!!; line 264: please use the first capital letter, always “ability”. <u>Conclusion</u> – format the “According”; It is very scarce to conclude for so much research work, good research, to add another 1-2 sentences. <u>References</u> – To check if the literature used is listed according to the propositions for the authors ?! I think there are deviations that are inadmissible. | All reviewer comments are corrected in the attached manuscript file |
| Minor REVISION comments | Once written the species name in full (“ <i>Linum usitatissimum</i> L.”), further in the text to be written as ““ <i>L. usitatissimum</i> ”). | All reviewer comments are corrected in the attached manuscript file |
| Optional/General comments | <p>All text to be formatted.</p> <p>The authors should check the whole text and it must be the entire manuscript written in the same font in accordance with the propositions.</p> | All reviewer comments are corrected in the attached manuscript file |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |